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Definition of Risk and Risk Assessment

- Risk assessment is the process of providing answer to three basic questions:

  1. What can go wrong?
  2. How likely is it?
  3. What are the losses (consequences)?

- Answering these questions forms the basis of the PRA
PRA: A Useful Form of Risk Assessment

- PRA identifies, models and analyzes:
  - Initiating events
    - Disturbances that put a nuclear plant into a transient
  - Safety systems and functions
    - Systems and functions that mitigate the initiating event
  - Accident scenarios
    - Chronological combination of safety system and function successes and failures that lead to release of radiation
    - Chronological combinations that successfully avert any damage
- PRA model estimates the frequency and consequences of core damage and radaitation releases
Information Needed for the PRA

• **Information needed include:**
  - Detailed plant design information (core inventory, etc.)
  - Thermal hydraulic and severe accident analyses
  - Safety and other system drawings and success criteria
  - Operating experiences
  - Emergency and operating procedures
  - Maintenance procedures
Examples of Disturbances (IEs)

• Examples of IEs
  – Loss of feedwater
  – Loss of offsite power
  – Loss of coolant accident

• Examples of plant responses
  – Physical
    – Neutronic
    – Reactor vessel and containment pressure, temperature, water level
  – Automatic
    – Reactor trip/turbine trip
    – Safety system actuations
  – Operator
    – Manual reactor trip
    – Manual recovery actions
Elements of a PRA

• Elements
  – Event trees: model scenarios of events from an initiating event to an end-state
  – Fault trees: model failure of safety system/ functions that mitigate the accident
  – Frequency and probability: estimate likelihoods of initiating events, component failures, human error

• PRA Output
  – Core damage frequency (CDF) (Level-1 PRA)
  – Radioactive Release size and frequencies (Level-2 PRA)
  – Radiological consequences to public and region (Level-3 PRA)
Event tree

Delineates scenario of events after the IE

Small LOCA | Reactor Trip | Hi Pressure Injection | Reduce Pressure | Lo Pressure Injection
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Success | OK (no core damage) | Core damage | Core damage | Transfer to ATWS tree

Failure

Event tree (Cont.)

- Top events represent:
  - Functions or systems that mitigate core damage
  - Important operator actions
  - Systems that mitigate radioactive release

- Event tree also models severe accident phenomenology that challenges containment integrity
Fault tree

- A logical model of how a mitigating system fail

SUCCESS CRITERION:
Flow from tank through 1 of 2 pumps to 1 of 3 injection paths

FAILURE OCCURS WHEN:
No flow from tank
OR
No flow from pumps
OR
No flow through injection paths

Fault tree (Cont.)

LOW PRESSURE INJECTION FAILS

- PUMPS A&B FAIL
  - INDEPENDENTLY
- PUMPS A&B FAIL BY COMMON CAUSE
- VALVES A&B&C FAIL
  - INDEPENDENTLY
- VALVES A&B&C FAIL BY COMMON CAUSE

SUCCESS CRITERION:
Flow from tank through 1 of 2 pumps to 1 of 3 injection paths

- Reducing the logic in a fault tree gives:
  - **Cutsets**, failures that cause system failure
    - PUMP A FAILS and PUMP B FAILS
      - Independ or by common cause
    - VALVE A FAILS and VALVE B FAILS
    - VALVE C FAILS (Independent or by common cause)
    - TANK FAILS

Event Probabilities and Frequencies: Likelihood of Events

Operating experience data for:
- Frequency initiating events
- Failure rates of hardware
- Human reliability and error rates
- Probabilities of repair and recovery

Expert judgement for rare event
Common cause failure modeling
Graphical Depiction of the Overall PRA

Assessing and Characterizing Risks Consequence of Interest

Master Logic Diagram (Hierarchical Logic)

Mapping of ET-Defined Scenario to Causal Events

Probabilistic Treatment of Basic Events

Event Tree (Hierarchical Logic)

Fault Tree (Hierarchical Logic)

Model Integration and Quantification of Risk Scenarios

Transition to Risk Management
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PART II: IMPORTANT CONSIDERATIONS IN PRA AND APPLICATIONS
Graphical Depiction of the Overall PRA

- Objectives and Methodology
- Familiarization and Information Assembly
- Identification of Initiating Events
- Sequence or Scenarios Development
- Logic Modeling
- Quantification and Integration
- Failure Data Collection, Analysis, and Performance Assessment
  - Uncertainty Analysis
  - Sensitivity Analysis
  - Interpretation of Results
  - Importance Ranking
Uncertainty Analysis

Steps in uncertainty analysis include:

1. Identify models and parameters that are uncertain
2. Estimate and assign probability distributions depicting PRA models and parameters
3. Propagate uncertainties
4. Present the uncertainties associated with risks and contributors to risk in an easy way to understand
Risk Ranking and Importance Analysis

Applications:

1. **(Re)Design**: To support decisions of the system design or redesign by adding or removing equipment
2. **Test and Maintenance**: To Address questions related to the plant performance by changing the test and maintenance strategy
3. **Configuration and Control**: To measure the significance and effect of failure of a component on risk or safety or temporarily taking a component out of service
4. **Reduce Uncertainties**: in the unknown parameters of the PRA
Interpretation of Results

PRA results interpretation include:

1. Determine accuracy of the logic models and scenarios, assumptions, and scope of the PRA
2. Identify system elements for which better information would be needed to reduce uncertainties in failure probabilities and models used to calculate performance
3. Revise the PRA and reinterpret the results until attaining stable and accurate results
Strength of PRA

Important strengths:

1. Provides an integrated and systematic examination of a broad set of design and operational features of an engineered system
2. Incorporates the influence of system interactions and human-system interfaces
3. Provides a model for incorporating operating experience with the engineered system and updating risk estimates
4. Provides a process for the explicit consideration of uncertainties
5. Permits the analysis of competing risks (e.g., of one system vs. another or of possible modifications to an existing system)
6. Permits the analysis of (assumptions, data) issues via sensitivity studies.
7. Provides a measure of the absolute or relative importance of systems, components to the calculated risk value
8. Provides a quantitative measure of overall level of health and safety for the engineered system
Applications of PRA in Regulations

• Integrated plant evaluations to discover and correct subtle vulnerabilities that resulting in significant safety improvements
• Inspections use PRA insights to focus on important safety systems, operations and human actions
• Applications for Reactor Oversight Program to determine important processes with high safety impact that need to increased inspection and oversight
• Show compliance to performance-based maintenance and fire protection, and other regulations
• PRA uses by the NRC to confirm the rigor of any new or revised rules to cover uncertainties and justify new requirements
• Assess issues such as emergency planning, evacuations, etc.
• License / certify new reactor designs
Applications of PRA
By Plant Operators

• Enhance risk-informed technical specifications (risk-informed in-service inspection programs to focus resources on the most safety-significant systems and components)
• Analyze and enhance new reactor designs
• Risk monitor
Conclusions

- PRA forms the basis for risk-informed decision making
- Many uses as safety monitors for configuration management
- Supports test and maintenance planning and optimization
- Supports safety upgrades of plants built to earlier standards
- Significant development experiences and standards in developing and proper uses of PRA models
- Can be used to show if safety goals (how safe-is-safe enough) measure are met
- Supports compliance to many regulatory requirements
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